Public Doubt and Geopolitical Factors in Kazakhstan's Nuclear Power Referendum 6 Oct

asianconsortium-rs.com/public-doubt-and-geopolitical-factors-in-kazakhstans-nuclear-power-referendum-6-oct/

October 9, 2024

Written by Muhammad Salman

On October 6, Kazakhstan held a referendum that could set a stage for a nuclear energy program to replace its polluting coal plants with clean sources. President Kassym-Jomart Tokayev insisted there was no other option—coal provides for 3% of Europe's electricity needs—but the plan has revived memories of the toxic legacy shaped on Kazakhstan by its half-century history at the forefront of Soviet atomic innovation.

During this period some 450 Soviet nuclear weapons tests were conducted in this vast county as Moscow flourished Cold War's tensions with Washington. So perhaps nowhere suffered from radioactive fallout as much as Semipalatinsk (northern east city of Kazakhstan), where three quarters of all Soviet bombs went off.

Critics—most notably the prominent blogger Vadim Boreiko—have posited that the referendum is fundamentally a mere formality; essentially, it serves as a mechanism for the Tokayev administration to legitimize a decision made long ago. The potential partnership could, in effect, heighten ties with Moscow at a time when a significant portion of the Kazakh populace is passionately pursuing increased autonomy. However, 70% of energy come from burning coal. According to the International Energy Agency, an integration of renewable energy sources in the current energy mix, but it is still below 5% of the entire generation capacity, coupled with a missing grid to connect the plants and distribution inefficiencies of existing generation plants. This situation is particularly problematic because it raises questions about the sustainability and future of the country's energy strategy.

Consequently, Kazakhstan finds itself necessitated to import electricity, predominantly from Russia. The Tokayev administration has (to some extent) rationalized nuclear energy as an integral component to renewable sources, positing that it represents an optimal solution. This assertion is particularly salient because Kazakhstan occupies a distinguished role as a prominent global uranium producer (accounting for approx. 43% of the world's uranium supply by 2023). Proponents contend that domestic nuclear energy could enhance energy security and mitigate dependence on imported electricity; although apprehensions regarding safety and environmental repercussions persist, the advantages are frequently highlighted.

The financial consequences of such an immense project—estimated to range from $10 to $12 billion—cannot be disregarded. Critics contend that investments in supplementary natural gas facilities may satisfy energy requirements more efficiently, yielding lower emissions and diminishing associated hazards. In a nation that is already struggling with the environmental repercussions of extensive coal consumption, there exists a palpable

(visible) concern that nuclear energy could introduce new, enduring challenges. This doubt regarding nuclear power is further intensified by public apprehension (fear) concerning a state initiative that seemingly prioritizes international collaborations—most notably with a Russia—over domestic priorities.

Kazakhstan's attempts to construct a nuclear power plant would most certainly have regional significance across Central Asia. Closer ties with Russia might transform the regional balance of power, provoking responses from neighboring countries. Major players in the geopolitical arena, such as China and the United States, could interpret Kazakhstan's nuclear ambitions as a strategic challenge. This perception might, catalyze heightened tension and competition within the region. In August 2021, U.S. Secretary of State Antony Blinken strongly emphasized the importance of energy security, noting that the United States would seek cooperation with Central Asian countries to achieve a diverse energy portfolio.

The factors of energy security, environmental management and regional geopolitics are expected to become an important influence on the present and future of the Central Asia. This geographical area has many current issues among which water scarcity, unequal economic development and political unrest in some countries are included. As the Tokayev administration, strategies through this multifaceted terrain, it must carefully evaluate the far-reaching consequences of its energy policies. There is a pressing need for sustainable energy. However, the government faces certain historical and geographical constraints.

Moreover, this is easier said than done, as the people present requirements tend to be antagonistic (hostile) to the longer-term strategic imperatives. It is therefore important that people in Kazakhstan participate in open and honest two-way communication about the numerous risks and advantages of nuclear energy to help achieve an informed agreement. In view of the difficulties associated with energy policy, one could expect that these actors will be able to manage them with great care but there is a room for advancement.

Recent studies indicate that nations transitioning to nuclear energy without robust public support frequently encounter considerable backlash, thereby undermining the objectives such initiatives seek to fulfill. By cultivating an inclusive discourse on energy policy, Kazakhstan could enhance its democratic framework while simultaneously securing public investment in its energy trajectory.

As Kazakhs exercise their democratic prerogative (right) to influence the nation's energy future, the outcome of this referendum will not merely dictate the course of Kazakhstan's energy policy; it will also establish the tone for its diplomatic relations in an ever-evolving global landscape. This referendum serves as a litmus test (indicator) for the government's capacity to harmonize economic modernization with the historical sensitivities. However, achieving this balance is charged with challenges, because it necessitates navigating complex societal dynamics that inform public opinion.

At this critical crossroads, the trajectory forward necessitates a persistent commitment to transparency, safety and responsible energy development—elements that are essential for cultivating a stable and prosperous future in Central Asia. However, it is only through detailed deliberation and cooperative engagement that Kazakhstan can capably navigate the hazardous of energy policy and international relations. This steering must aspire towards a future that honors both its rich heritage and its ambitious aspirations, although challenges abound. Because of these complexities, the path has obstacles, yet possibilities remain.

Muhammad Salman
The Author, Muhammad Salman is a student of International Relations at National University of Modern Languages (NUML), Islamabad, specializing in Asian geopolitics with a focus on major power dynamics, sea politics, and non-traditional security issues. His research interests intersect the intricate relationships between the US, China, and Russia in the Asian sphere.